PATENT

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

E0523-00011 (AU0303041)

OCT 14 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/766,536

Confirmation No.: 3087

In re application of: Ping-Chin Cheng

Filed: January 27, 2004

Technology Center/Art Unit: 2871

Examiner: TON, MINH TOAN T

Docket No.: E0523-00011 (AU0303041)

Customer No.: 08933

Title: METHODS FOR MOUNTING DRIVER IC CHIPS AND A FLEXIBLE PRINTED CIRCUITS USING A SINGLE ANISOTROPIC CONDUCTIVE FILM

> I CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING TRANSMITTED TO THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, ATTN: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT VIA FACSIMILE TO (571) 273-8300 ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement dated September 29, 2005.

Claims 1-38 are pending in the present application. The Examiner requires restriction between the following groups of claims: Group I, Claims 1-16 and 23-38, drawn to a method of bonding integrated circuit chips and other devices to an LCD panel, classified in Class 349, Subclass 187; and Group II, Claims 17-22, drawn to a liquid crystal display device, classified in Class 349, Subclass 151.

PH1\1499800.1

Appl. No. 10/766,536 Amdt. dated October 14, 2005 Reply to Office action of September 29, 2005

Responsive to the restriction requirement mailed August 9, 2005, Applicants hereby elect to prosecute Group I, claims I-16 and 23-38. This election is made with traverse.

The Examiner also requires an election between Species I, a method of bonding integrated circuit chips and other devices to an LCD panel, or Species II, a method of manufacturing a liquid crystal display device.

The Applicants elect Species I, Claims 1-16.

Applicant's respectfully traverse the Examiner's argument that there is no generic claim. Claims 1-16 are all generic, as explained below.

Claim 1 is generic because claim 23 includes all the features of Claim 1. There is no feature of claim 1 that is not included in claim 23. Claim 1 thus reads on Claim 23, and is generic to both species.

Claim 2 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 24).

Claim 3 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 25).

Claim 4 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 26).

Claim 5 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 27).

Claim 6 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 28).

Claim 7 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 29).

Claim 8 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 30).

Page 2 of 4

Appl. No. 10/766,536 Amdt. dated October 14, 2005 Reply to Office action of September 29, 2005

Claim 9 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 31).

Claim 10 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 32).

Claim 11 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 33).

Claim 12 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 34).

Claim 13 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 35).

Claim 14 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 36).

Claim 15 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 37).

Claim 16 is generic because it reads on both Species (i.e., it does not include any feature that is not included in Claim 38).

Therefore, claims 1-16 are all generic, because all of claims 1-16 read on both species. If any of the generic Claims 1-16 are allowed, the Applicants is entitled to examination (in this application) of any claim that is dependent on, or includes all of the features of, the allowed generic claim.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance. Early notification to that effect is respectfully requested.

Appl. No. 10/766,536 Arndt. dated October 14, 2005 Reply to Office action of September 29, 2005

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any excess payment that may be associated with this communication to deposit account 04-1679.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10-14-05

teven E. Koffs, Reg. No.: 37,1

Attorney For Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-4196 (215) 979-1250 (Telephone) (215) 979-1020 (Fax)